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Learning Objectives

After this course, participants will be able to:

- Identify the typical peak sound pressure levels produced by shotguns, pistols and rifles used by law enforcement personnel.
- Describe the expected level of protection that earplugs alone can provide for small-caliber firearms.
- Describe how noise treatments affect the direct and reverberant noise exposures for persons firing weapons within the firing range.
- Identify other health hazards to the hearing that might be found in the firing range.
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How big is the problem in the US?

- Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) is the most common occupational illness in manufacturing
- 22 million people exposed occupationally (Tak et al. 2009)
- 27.5 million people have speech frequency hearing impairment (Hoffman et al. 2016)
- Hearing loss accounts for about 10% of non-fatal recordable occupational illnesses in manufacturing

Veterans Affairs Hearing Loss Compensation

**Veteran Disability**

**Hearing Loss and Tinnitus**

- In FY16 there were a total of 1,610,911 and 1,084,069 disability compensation awards for Veterans for tinnitus and hearing loss, respectively. This is a 9% increase from FY15.

- Tinnitus is the most prevalent service-connected disability for Veterans. In FY16, 10% of new compensation recipients were awarded disability compensation for tinnitus.

- The next most prevalent disability in FY16 for new awardees is hearing loss (5.1% of veterans).
Hearing Loss Prevalence by Industrial Sector with Time

Prevalence of Workers with Hearing Impairment
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Firearm noise is hazardous to hearing

NIOSH has an ongoing effort to characterize the risk of hearing loss due to impulse noise exposure.

Risk factors include
- Sound pressure level of impulse peaks
- Duration and number of impulses
- Waveform characteristics such as kurtosis
- Complex (continuous/impulse)
- Mixed exposures to other ototoxic agents
How loud are the exposures?

- Small-caliber firearms
  - Pistols (9mm, .40, .45 and .357 caliber)
  - Shotguns (.410, 20 ga, 12 ga)
  - Semi-automatic rifles (5.56, 7.62 mm)
- Large-caliber firearms
  - Large-caliber rifles (.50 caliber)
- Flash-bangs, Grenades, etc.
- Shoulder-fired weapons (LAW, TOW)

Firearms generate high level impulse noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firearm type</th>
<th>Peak SPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small rifle</td>
<td>140-145 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium rifle</td>
<td>155-160 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large rifle</td>
<td>160-170 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shotgun</td>
<td>150-170 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small pistol</td>
<td>150-155 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large pistol</td>
<td>160-170 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Add SPL for short barrel, muzzle brake, enclosed area
Small Caliber Weapon Peak Levels

Tubbs, Murphy, [2003]. HETA #2002-0131-2898 Fort Collins Police Services DHHS-CDC-NIOSH.

Third Octave Spectra of Several Weapons

Tubbs, Murphy, [2003]. HETA #2002-0131-2898 Fort Collins Police Services DHHS-CDC-NIOSH.
Elements of the gunshot noise

Primer Noise

Ballistic shock wave
N-wave

Muzzle Blast

Details of the ballistic shock wave (N-wave)
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Effectiveness of Hearing Protection (1 of 4)

Photo credit: Google Public Domain
Effectiveness of Hearing Protection (2 of 4)

Leak around the Safety Glasses & Hat

Effectiveness of Hearing Protection (3 of 4)

Muffs won’t fit under helmet
Effectiveness of Hearing Protection (4 of 4)

Attenuation with Insertion Depth


Results: Earplug Use and Insertion Depth

- 54% of users achieved 0 to 2 dB attenuation from inadequate use of earplugs.
- 14% of users reported always wearing earplugs.
- 7% of users inserted earplugs fully in both ears
  [ % extrapolated from 202 ears of sometimes & always earplug users ]

Ways to reduce firearm noise exposure?

**Hearing Protection Devices**
- Muffs/Plugs
- Active/Passive

**Reduces Noise at the Ear**
- Must have appropriate HPD for specific shooting activities
- Must wear devices consistently
- Research has shown that firearm users do not consistently use HPDs, even during target practice
Comparison of Impulse Peak Insertion Loss

Peak Reduction of Level-limiting Ear muffs
How suppressors work

- High level impulses are generated by sudden release of high pressure gases that accelerate the projectile
- Pressure is reduced by coupling a chamber with larger volume to end of barrel
- Baffles within the chamber act as a muffler
- Suppressors cannot reduce the noise caused by supersonic flight of projectile

Reduction of Peak Levels by Firearm Suppressors

![Graph showing reduction of peak levels by firearm suppressors](image)
Reduction of Peak Levels by Firearm Suppressors
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Schematic Indoor Firing Range Layout

Example Indoor Range Layout

Noise Control in the Range

- Limited effectiveness for reflections only
  - Reduction of reverberant field of 10 dB
- Wall elements require an air gap for multiple reflections
- Absorption in the ceiling can reduce the reflected energy within the range.

- Peak sound pressure levels will not be affected
- Focus on the effects of noise transmitted to other spaces.

Noise Control for Common Wall

- Normal weight masonry wall with stud walls on either side will provide the greatest transmission loss.
  - The interior range wall will typically be covered with plates (0.25 to 0.5 inch aluminum or steel plating,) can be modeled with thin plate assumptions below 20 kHz.
  - Wall cavity should be insulated (fiberglass and maybe mass-loaded septum)
  - Adjacent spaces should also be insulated
  - The stud walls should be separated from the masonry block wall to reduce direct coupling to adjacent spaces.
Noise Control for Passageways

- Double Door passage with 2-meter airlock
  - Doors should be multi-layered (STC-48 for single door)
    - Plate-Absorber-Gap-Absorber-Plate
  - Magnetic Seals to minimize air gaps
  - Absorption in the Passage-way (STC 50 or better)
- Sticky mats for controlling lead dust contamination
- Negative Pressure within the range (lead and CO exposure)

Noise Control for Windows

- Laminated (armored windows)
  - Must withstand several impacts
    - Laminated glass 2.9 inches
    - 5 bullet strikes 0.223 caliber
  - Transmission loss of 35-45 dB in 500-1000 Hz
    - 11 mm laminated glass
  - Armored glass could have a thicker constrained layer
- Double glazed and double windows
  - Installed in separate walls
  - Absorptive batting around inner window cavity enhances transmission loss.
  - Nonparallel installation.
Noise Control Ventilation System

- Independent ventilation system unconnected to adjacent spaces
  - Large volume velocity capability
  - HEPA Filter for lead dust
  - External exhaust for removal of combustion gases
- Ductwork should not bridge the common wall
- Laminar flow down range across the shooting lanes
- Noise levels from ventilation can be 70-85 dB.
  - Good design practices should minimize the acoustical footprint inside the range
  - Insulated ductwork straight runs

---


---

Noise Control Flanking Pathways

- Eliminate structural elements that bridge the space between the range and the rest of the building.
- Floor should be isolated with separate foundation and potentially insulated below and around perimeter.
- Mechanical connections (plumbing ventilation and electrical) should be isolated.
- The common wall should be isolate across its thickness with a break in the foundation such that interior range wall is not connected structurally to the adjacent space interior wall(s).
- The roof and exterior walls be isolated with expansion joints to reduce coupling between range and other spaces.
Summary

- Noise control is more expensive to retrofit than it is to design/include from beginning.

- Reasonable transmission loss might be in the 70-80 dB range if the isolation techniques are carefully implemented.

- Ideally the impulse noise in the adjacent space should be below 70 dB peaks.
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A little history

- Wright Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB) Combat Arms Training Facility (CATF) was built without noise treatments inside the firing range
- 2 Ranges: 2-lane and 21-lane
- Concrete walls, floor, armored bullet trap, baffles and safety ceiling
- In 2009, US Air Force contracted to have Troy Acoustics install the noise treatment

Layout of the WPAFB CATF
Reverb Time Microphone Locations

Troy Acoustics Treatment Materials

- 2" thick Troy Board – Porous cementitious shredded wood
- 1.5" Troy Wool – Basalt mineral wool placed between 2"x4" furring strips
- Armor plate – Bullet trap & last 44’ of range
- Doors – STC 45 (48”x80”)
- Windows
  - STC 47 – 5 panes (96”x36”) 21-lane
  - STC 47 – 1 pane (96”x36”) 2-lane
Which Surfaces were Treated?

- Safety ceiling, baffles and isolation ceiling
- Wall behind shooters
Which Surfaces were Treated?

- Safety ceiling, baffles and isolation ceiling
- Wall behind shooters
- Side walls to within 44 feet of end bullet trap

The Effectiveness of WPAFB Noise Treatments

The Effect of Noise Treatment: WPAFB CATF

- Untreated Range
- Treated Range
- Untreated Prediction
- Treated Prediction

Murphy, Zechmann, Kantova, Xiang. [2012]. J. Acoust Soc Am 131(3B):
Speech Intelligibility

Conclusions

- The Troy System™ acoustic treatment provided a significant reduction of the reverberation time in the firing ranges.
- Speech Intelligibility improved dramatically as a result.
- Reverberation Times were lowered to less than $RT_{60} < 1.5$ sec above 125 Hz.
Firing Range Auralizations

- Untreated 21-lane Firing Range
  21 Shooters firing M16 Rifles
- Untreated 21-lane Range
  Fixed Location Source, Walking around the Range
- Treated 21-lane Range
  Fixed Location Source, Walking around the Range

Presentation Outline

- Prevalence of Hearing Loss
- Magnitude of Exposures
- Effectiveness of Hearing Protection
- Noise Control on the Range
- WPAFB Case Study
- Practical Recommendations
Practical Recommendations

- NIOSH Alert
  - Preventing Occupational Exposures to Lead and Noise at Indoor Firing Ranges

- NIOSH Indoor Firing Ranges Topic Page
  - https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/ranges/

- NIOSH Science Blog
  - Hit the Mark: Firearms training without damaging your hearing

- NIOSH Publications
  - Noise and Hearing Loss Prevention

Effect of training: Modalities of instruction

- Trial #1: Written instruction
- Trial #2: Video instruction
- Trial #3: Experimenter-trained

Photo credits: Jesse Duran, NIOSH

Murphy et al., The effects of training on attenuation, Noise & Health, 2011
Conclusions

- Implement Health and Safety Programs
- Monitor for potential exposures to lead
- Monitor hearing status for workers and shooters
- Provide a wide range of hearing protection devices
- Train people about how to wear hearing protection and other PPE
- Encourage the shooters to use hearing protection in other settings
- Firearm health and safety demands a proactive approach
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Questions?

William J. Murphy, Ph.D.
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Hearing Loss Prevention Team
1090 Tusculum Ave.
Mailstop C-27
Cincinnati OH 45226-1998
wjm4@cdc.gov